Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA **Exhibit** 17 LAZY S. RANCH PROPERTIES, LLC, an Oklahoma limited liability company, Plaintiff, CASE NO. 19-cv-425-JWB -vs-VALERO TERMINALING AND DISTRIBUTION COMPANY; VALERO PARTNERS OPERATING CO.,) LLC; and VALERO PARTNERS WYNNEWOOD, LLC, Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF

KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM

TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

ON AUGUST 26, 2022

REPORTED BY: TRENA K. BLOYE, CSR



Page 66

originates, fingerprint is more of the process of where the peaks are determine its fingerprint.

Q Okay. So -A And the above graph there you can see between C2 and C30, it's where those peaks are, the intensity of the peaks and where they are located.

Q Okay. So this is probably a good time. Let's just look at your next page and let me see if I can summarize what you just said, or understand what you just said. So when you say when you think of fingerprinting and where the hydrocarbon residue originated, you're looking at these peaks like we see here in the middle of the page?

A Right.

Q And you're saying that that helps tell you what type of hydrocarbon residue you're looking at?

A Exactly. Not just one peak. It is all the peaks. It is their intensity. And on the X axis, that is time on the X axis. So when you inject on the left-hand portion, that's two minutes, and to the right it looks like 38 minutes.

So you can imagine the longer chain molecules it takes -- in the gas chromatogram, most -- chromatography, the column is about a football field. It's about a hundred yards. So you can imagine these

molecules going through a hundred yards in a column. The small molecules come out first. The longer chain molecules come out later on. By this pattern, by the intensity and where they are located, chemists can determine what the material is.

Page 67

Q So this example we see on page 13 of the gas chromatography, the peaks are in the middle, which leads you to believe or allows you to conclude it's diesel. Is that fair to say?

A Yes. Not only that, but the way the shape, the shape of all the peaks put together.

Q Okay. So this is an example of what you would characterize as fingerprinting because you can look at the gas chromatography and decide what type of carbon residue you think it is. Is that fair to say?

A Yes, sir.

Q And this -- well, and the same thing would be true. On the next page you have a gasoline, standard gas chromatography. The distinction here is that the peaks are at the far left, and so that would indicate to you that its more of a gas -- or is a gasoline residue than something like a diesel residue?

23 A Yes, sir.

Q So when you talk about the origination, or the hydrocarbon origination is known as fingerprinting, that

Page 68

means trying to find out what type of hydrocarbon residue you're looking at?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. Does it in any way -- or does your analysis have anything to do with the relative age of the hydrocarbon residue?

A By the gas chromatograms, chemists not only can we tell you what the material is, but we can say how fresh it is

Q And how does that happen?

A The way it happens is this. It's very interesting in microorganisms. When you think about a microorganism, it doesn't have a mouth. Think about it. A microorganism has no mouth, so the only way it can reproduce -- and the only way it can reproduce is to feed. So something must be water soluble, it has to be solubilized, and through osmosis it obtains the food.

So if you have a smaller chain molecule it's more -- generally more soluble than a long-chain molecule. Therefore, on biodegradation or weathering, microorganisms, the smaller chain molecules are going to be consumed first. And once they are gone, then the microorganisms will then continue to and try to eat the longer chain molecules.

And what is so interesting, if you look at old

Page 69

runways from World War II that were asphalt, some of
them are still in existence. And when you think about
it, that's just a very long chain molecule. And people
say, How could they have lasted since World War II?
And the reason why they are is because

And the reason why they are is because microorganisms, it's very difficult for a microorganism to consume something like asphalt.

Q Got it.

A Yeah.

Q So biodegradation can occur in aerobic settings; right?

A Both aerobic and anaerobic.

Q So it occurs as a lower pace or a slower pace in an anaerobic setting?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. And let's think -- I'm going to give you a hypothetical plume. Let's say you find a plume at American Airlines in the Tulsa maintenance plant. It's a big plume. The center of the plume would biodegrade at a lesser rate than the outer parts of the plume because there is less biodegradation possible. Is that fair to say?

MR. PAGE: Object to form.

A If in the middle, as you said, it's highly concentrated, and we call it LNAPL. You actually have

18 (Pages 66 to 69)



			Ĩ
	Page 70		Page 71
1	two phases. And if you take oil and water as a prime	1	MR. JOHNSON: twelve.
2	example on salad dressing, you can shake it up and shake	2	MR. PAGE: Thank you.
3	it and you put it back on the table, you get two phases.	3	Q (By Mr. Johnson) So I'm starting after the word
4	It's the petroleum distillate or the oil that we're	4	fingerprinting. Actually, I'm just going to start
5	going to be eating on top.	5	again.
6	If it is so thick, you are absolutely correct,	6	You wrote, "This is accomplished through the
7	the microorganism, it's too much for them. It's	7	use of gas chromatography to identify specific compounds
8	actually again, so what they do, as you said, in the	8	present in the environment and the corresponding carbon
9	perimeter you will get more biodegradation. And as	9	content in conjunction with what is known about the
10	those molecules are consumed, then the microbes will go	10	source, use, and properties of these compounds."
11	toward the center.	11	And then that chromatography that you refer to
12	Q And that could be true for a plume either in an	12	is specifically what we're looking at on pages 13, 14,
13	anaerobic or setting or in an aerobic setting. Is that	13	and 15; is that right?
14	fair to say?	14	A Yes, sir.
15	A That is correct.	15	Q All right. Next you talk about weathering.
16	Q The next sentence says, "This is accomplished	16	You wrote the term, "Weathering describes physical,
17	through the use of gases sorry. I'm jumping around a	17	chemical, and biological, biochemical changes that
18	little bit. "This is accomplished through the use of	18	affect hydrocarbons and alter the composition of
19	gas chromatography to identify specific compounds	19	petroleum hydrocarbon mixtures after they are released
20	present in the environment"	20	to the environment."
21	MR. PAGE: Could you identify where you	21	Okay. So sounds sort of self-explanatory. Let
22	are reading from, please?	22	me try to say it back to you a little different.
23	MR. JOHNSON: Sorry. I jumped back to	23	Wherever the hydrocarbons may be located, they can be
24	page	24	subject to weathering due to environmental conditions.
25	THE WITNESS: Twelve.	25	Is that fair to say?
		l .	·
		l .	
	Page 72		Page 73
1	270 	1	
1 2	A Yes, sir.	1 2	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it
2	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we	2	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes,
2 3	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at	2 3	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things.
2 3 4	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the	2 3 4	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an
2 3	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue?	2 3	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus
2 3 4 5	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one
2 3 4 5 6	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering,	2 3 4 5	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has	2 3 4 5 6 7	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection. A There can be different rates of weathering.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying to do it out in nature. Is that fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection. A There can be different rates of weathering. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. And are there different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection. A There can be different rates of weathering. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. And are there different rates of weathering among atmospheric conditions,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying to do it out in nature. Is that fair? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection. A There can be different rates of weathering. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. And are there different rates of weathering among atmospheric conditions, aquatic conditions, and then in the soil?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying to do it out in nature. Is that fair? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A That is correct. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection. A There can be different rates of weathering. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. And are there different rates of weathering among atmospheric conditions, aquatic conditions, and then in the soil? MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying to do it out in nature. Is that fair? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A That is correct. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A And most laboratories are 75 degrees Farenheit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection. A There can be different rates of weathering. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. And are there different rates of weathering among atmospheric conditions, aquatic conditions, and then in the soil? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying to do it out in nature. Is that fair? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A That is correct. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A And most laboratories are 75 degrees Farenheit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection. A There can be different rates of weathering. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. And are there different rates of weathering among atmospheric conditions, aquatic conditions, and then in the soil? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A Yes, sir. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Is it possible to know the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying to do it out in nature. Is that fair? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A That is correct. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A And most laboratories are 75 degrees Farenheit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection. A There can be different rates of weathering. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. And are there different rates of weathering among atmospheric conditions, aquatic conditions, and then in the soil? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A Yes, sir. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Is it possible to know the different rates of weathering in all those three types	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying to do it out in nature. Is that fair? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A That is correct. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A And most laboratories are 75 degrees Farenheit. And if you have been in Oklahoma for the last month it's rare, it's been 75 degrees.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And the weathering can occur, I think we will agree on this, the weathering can occur at different rates depending on the location of the hydrocarbon residue? A That's correct. Q So are there different rates of weathering, say, on a, you know, on a hot highway that no one has driven on, but somebody spilled some gas, versus, you know, 50 feet underground in an aquifer? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Can there be different rates of weathering? MR. PAGE: Same objection. A There can be different rates of weathering. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. And are there different rates of weathering among atmospheric conditions, aquatic conditions, and then in the soil? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A Yes, sir. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Is it possible to know the different rates of weathering in all those three types of different conditions? I mean, have there been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	studies on and we do predominantly you will see it in university laboratories. They do this in test tubes, and they develop half lives and those kinds of things. However, there's a big difference of doing an experiment with one milliliter in a test tube versus outside, and sometimes it's difficult to correlate one with the other. Q The reason is because that the lab studies have sort of a perfect setting with the perfect micro and macronutrients that don't necessarily exist in the atmosphere or in the soil; right? A Exactly. Q Okay. So the lab tests are I'm trying to find the right word here they are, in some ways, an ideal setting to measure weathering as opposed to trying to do it out in nature. Is that fair? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A That is correct. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A And most laboratories are 75 degrees Farenheit. And if you have been in Oklahoma for the last month it's rare, it's been 75 degrees. Q That's true.





	Page 74		Page 75
1	from the bottom of 14 onto 15. "Referenced below is an	1	A-D means "attached onto." So that's what absorption
2	exhibit from the Interstate Technology and Regulatory	2	means. One substance is attracted to and adheres to
3	Council, ITRC, addressing petroleum weathering."	3	another substance without actually penetrating. So you
4	First of all, what is the ITRC?	4	have hydrocarbon materials absorbing, let's say, to a
5	A It's an agency, federal agency that does a lot	5	soil.
6	of research and a lot of publications.	6	And from that point, once they are retarded
7	Q Okay. And then you include this conceptual	7	there, then you can have microbial action. All these
8	site model. Tell me I know there's a lot going on	8	sort of work together. It is interesting that when you
9	here, so I apologize for my broad question. But what	9	have a spill of petroleum distillate, it isn't just one
10	does this diagram or chart diagram, I guess, what	10	thing. It's all seven generally.
11	does this show?	11	Now, No. 7, photooxidation, obviously for
12	A It shows to a certain extent as far as here is	12	groundwater that's not a big issue. You are not going
13	a pipeline. And this is from the ITRC. And you have a	13	to have No. 7, photooxidation. But on the surface
14	pipeline leaking. And what it's showing is sort of the	14	water, if you have a petroleum distillate still on a
15	phaeton (phonetic) transport of the materials, of the	15	lake or pond you certainly could get photooxidation.
16	petroleum distillates	16	Q Okay. I get what you're saying. But they
17	Q Okay. Right below that you went on to say,	17	generally work together or work in parallel or happen in
18	"According to NOAA there are basically seven types of	18	parallel. Would the same be true for six? I mean, if
19	weathering of petroleum distillates," and then you list	19	you have a plume or contamination in soil, 50 feet
20	all seven. NOAA is also a government agency; right?	20	underground or 10 feet underground or something, in an
21	A yes, sir.	21	anaerobic setting there would be evaporation?
22	Q All right. So let's go through these types of	22	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.
23	weathering. The first is adsorption, sedimentation.	23	A It would be unusual, if you have groundwater
24	What is that?	24	and it's 60 or 80 feet below the surface, to see much
25	A Adsorption, any time you see A-D versus A-B,	25	evaporation.
	Page 76		Page 77
1		1	
1 2	Page 76 Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation?	1 2	Page 77 Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different?
	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though?		Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but
2	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil."	2	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say
2	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly	2 3	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse.
2 3 4	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know,	2 3 4	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's
2 3 4 5	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no.	2 3 4 5	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well
2 3 4 5 6	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they have petroleum distillates also in the groundwater.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get better microbial action the thinner the film.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they have petroleum distillates also in the groundwater. Q Okay. Number 3 is Distribution. It says, "The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get better microbial action the thinner the film. Q And microbial action acts to eliminate the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they have petroleum distillates also in the groundwater. Q Okay. Number 3 is Distribution. It says, "The distribution of spilled oil into the upper layers of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get better microbial action the thinner the film. Q And microbial action acts to eliminate the hydrocarbon —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they have petroleum distillates also in the groundwater. Q Okay. Number 3 is Distribution. It says, "The distribution of spilled oil into the upper layers of the water column by natural wave action or application of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get better microbial action the thinner the film. Q And microbial action acts to eliminate the hydrocarbon A Consume it, actually consume it, use it for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they have petroleum distillates also in the groundwater. Q Okay. Number 3 is Distribution. It says, "The distribution of spilled oil into the upper layers of the water column by natural wave action or application of chemical disbursements." So let's do that's two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get better microbial action the thinner the film. Q And microbial action acts to eliminate the hydrocarbon A Consume it, actually consume it, use it for food.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they have petroleum distillates also in the groundwater. Q Okay. Number 3 is Distribution. It says, "The distribution of spilled oil into the upper layers of the water column by natural wave action or application of chemical disbursements." So let's do that's two things. Natural wave action is the water moving on its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get better microbial action the thinner the film. Q And microbial action acts to eliminate the hydrocarbon A Consume it, actually consume it, use it for food. Q All right. Biodegradation is No. 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they have petroleum distillates also in the groundwater. Q Okay. Number 3 is Distribution. It says, "The distribution of spilled oil into the upper layers of the water column by natural wave action or application of chemical disbursements." So let's do that's two things. Natural wave action is the water moving on its own; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get better microbial action the thinner the film. Q And microbial action acts to eliminate the hydrocarbon A Consume it, actually consume it, use it for food. Q All right. Biodegradation is No. 2. A Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they have petroleum distillates also in the groundwater. Q Okay. Number 3 is Distribution. It says, "The distribution of spilled oil into the upper layers of the water column by natural wave action or application of chemical disbursements." So let's do that's two things. Natural wave action is the water moving on its own; right? A Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get better microbial action the thinner the film. Q And microbial action acts to eliminate the hydrocarbon A Consume it, actually consume it, use it for food. Q All right. Biodegradation is No. 2. A Yeah. Q I mean, I think that's sort of what you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q (By Mr. Page) What about in soil, though? There would not be evaporation? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. Ambiguous, "soil." A If the soil was near the surface you certainly could get evaporation. But if you have soil, you know, 100 feet below the ground, no. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. A Very, very little. Q I'm on No. 5 now. What's emulsification? A Sometimes here is a prime example. You can actually emulsification. We also have a co-solvent if you have more than one pollutant. And many times in industry they will use halogenated solvents for degreasing, and they also are in groundwater, and they have petroleum distillates also in the groundwater. Q Okay. Number 3 is Distribution. It says, "The distribution of spilled oil into the upper layers of the water column by natural wave action or application of chemical disbursements." So let's do that's two things. Natural wave action is the water moving on its own; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A little bit like the salad dressing, but that's different? A But in the ocean when you have a lot of waves, you'll notice it starts in one place, and the, let's say an oil spill, and it eventually will disburse. Q Okay. And then would that be true for, let's say, in an underwater groundwater setting as well potentially, that it could disburse? A Oh, absolutely, absolutely. Q All right. Application of chemical dispersants, tell me what that is. A There are many they use on surface water that purposely do this, disburse the spill. You have a large oil spill, let say in the bay or the ocean, you want it as thin as possible there for microbial action. You get better microbial action the thinner the film. Q And microbial action acts to eliminate the hydrocarbon A Consume it, actually consume it, use it for food. Q All right. Biodegradation is No. 2. A Yeah.



	Page 82		Page 83
1	the maximum six months old, maybe three months, maybe	1	gasoline and fresh gasoline. It's a mixture of all of
2	even earlier. So this petroleum distillate is not from	2	those. That's the interesting issue.
3	the 80s.	3	Q And why do you say it's a mixture of all of
4	Q So is it your estimate that the petroleum	4	them?
5	distillate is no older than six months?	5	A Because of the shape of the peaks and where the
6	A No older than six months, that's correct.	6	peaks are. Also, if you could go to page 19 and you go
7	Q And what is the basis for that?	7	to U1.
8	A The peaks. I think I have the	8	Q Okay. Go on.
9	Q Are you talking about on 13 and 14 or are you	9	A And the other interesting thing is here is
10	talking about 18 and 19?	10	actually, I should say page 19 on the bottom you see the
11	A Okay. Page 18.	11	standard here and here is your gasoline here, here is
12	Q Okay.	12	your diesel fuel here. Here is your sample right out of
13	A And you can see here on the top one here and it	13	the cave. So it's clear that this material here, you
14	says L1 on top of page 18?	14	have a mixture of fresh gasoline, weathered gasoline,
15	Q Yeah.	15	fresh diesel, and weathered diesel.
16	A Yeah. So look at approximately the very	16	Q Okay. So we talked earlier about the concept
17	first two inches to the left, do you see all those	17	that there could be insulation.
18	hydrocarbons coming out? And if you look at the	18	A Insulation?
19	gasoline standard, which is on page please go to page	19	Program Transmission Control C
20		20	Q Insulation. So the concept that the center of
21	14. Now, these are not the same scale, obviously, but	21	the plume is insulated from A Oh.
	look at page 14. This is the gas standard.		MARINE PROPERTY.
22	You can see a lot of the same peaks. You can	22 23	Q the outer weathering impacts.
23	also see, what is so interesting about this L1 and	20 miles	A Yeah.
24	Dr. Fisher took this sample it is a mixture of both	24	Q All right. That is certainly a possibility
25	weathered diesel fuel and fresh diesel fuel, weathered	25	that could exist in this situation. Would you agree
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Page 84		Page 85
্ৰ	Page 84	1	Page 85
1	with me on that?	1	There's at least six different form objections on that
2	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	2	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation,
2	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that	2 3	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous.
2 3 4	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in	2 3 4	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer.
2 3 4 5	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much	2 3 4 5	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this
2 3 4 5 6	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through	2 3 4 5 6	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have
2 3 4 5 6 7	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic	2 3 4 5 6 7	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume concept. So if there is a plume and I don't even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the groundwater travels in this particular area. Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume concept. So if there is a plume and I don't even need to tie it to this situation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the groundwater travels in this particular area. Is that MR. PAGE: Object to the form, lack of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume concept. So if there is a plume and I don't even need to tie it to this situation. But if there is a plume that occurs at some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the groundwater travels in this particular area. Is that MR. PAGE: Object to the form, lack of foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume concept. So if there is a plume and I don't even need to tie it to this situation. But if there is a plume that occurs at some point in time and there is LNAPL, and let's say it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the groundwater travels in this particular area. Is that MR. PAGE: Object to the form, lack of foundation. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Do you have any understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume concept. So if there is a plume and I don't even need to tie it to this situation. But if there is a plume that occurs at some point in time and there is LNAPL, and let's say it's a somewhat significant plume, so not a small release that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the groundwater travels in this particular area. Is that MR. PAGE: Object to the form, lack of foundation. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Do you have any understanding or any knowledge of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume concept. So if there is a plume and I don't even need to tie it to this situation. But if there is a plume that occurs at some point in time and there is LNAPL, and let's say it's a somewhat significant plume, so not a small release that happened, there could be insulation that would insulate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the groundwater travels in this particular area. Is that MR. PAGE: Object to the form, lack of foundation. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Do you have any understanding or any knowledge of that? A Groundwater movement, talk to Dr. Fisher. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume concept. So if there is a plume and I don't even need to tie it to this situation. But if there is a plume that occurs at some point in time and there is LNAPL, and let's say it's a somewhat significant plume, so not a small release that happened, there could be insulation that would insulate the hydrocarbon residues and then, as they get further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the groundwater travels in this particular area. Is that MR. PAGE: Object to the form, lack of foundation. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Do you have any understanding or any knowledge of that? A Groundwater movement, talk to Dr. Fisher. I'm not a geologist, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume concept. So if there is a plume and I don't even need to tie it to this situation. But if there is a plume that occurs at some point in time and there is LNAPL, and let's say it's a somewhat significant plume, so not a small release that happened, there could be insulation that would insulate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the groundwater travels in this particular area. Is that MR. PAGE: Object to the form, lack of foundation. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Do you have any understanding or any knowledge of that? A Groundwater movement, talk to Dr. Fisher. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with me on that? MR. PAGE: Object to the form. A If the pipeline is leaking to that extent that we get an actual LNAPL, we get two layers way back in the cave, you are correct. We are not going to get much biodegradation back there. But as its flowing through the cave and the water, we are going to get anaerobic degradation, which is obviously the fastest. Q And if there were a plume from another source that was LNAPL, you would also have insulation; correct? A If there was a pipeline that had gasoline and diesel and was also leaking in the same cave, yes. But, again, I have no evidence that there is another pipeline on that land that has diesel and gasoline. Q I understand. So let's stick to the plume concept. So if there is a plume and I don't even need to tie it to this situation. But if there is a plume that occurs at some point in time and there is LNAPL, and let's say it's a somewhat significant plume, so not a small release that happened, there could be insulation that would insulate the hydrocarbon residues and then, as they get further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's at least six different form objections on that question. Lack of foundation, calls for speculation, ambiguous. Q (By Mr. Johnson) You can answer. A Okay. So we have a pipeline here and say this is the source of it. And you're right, if we have enough petroleum distillates coming in one place inside the cave we're not going to get much biodegradation as it pools. But as the cave water moves, as the spring water moves we're going to get anaerobic biodegradation, yes. Q So this is a well, for me I don't know if it is unique. But for me it's unique because we talk about the fact that there's this karst atmospheric situation and we don't know exactly which way the groundwater travels in this particular area. Is that MR. PAGE: Object to the form, lack of foundation. Q (By Mr. Johnson) Do you have any understanding or any knowledge of that? A Groundwater movement, talk to Dr. Fisher. I'm not a geologist, yeah.



25

A Yes, sir.

25

MR. PAGE: Objection to the form.

	Page 178		Page 179

1	that's where we're getting the petroleum distillates.	1	Q Okay. It's not one that stands out?
2	Now, as far as the exact path on how this goes, again, I	2	A No.
3	don't think anybody knows.	3	Q All right. Recognizing you haven't seen it,
4	Q And you're not you didn't study any drainage	4	I'm going to ask you a couple of questions about some of
5	flows or drainage patterns or anything like that?	5	the statements in here. If you could go to page 20.
6	A No, sir, did not.	6	MR. PAGE: Could I just have a standing
7	Q Okay. And so if they are in completely	7	objection? No foundation with these questions
8	different drainage patterns, you wouldn't have an	8	MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
9	opinion about that, would you?	9	MR. PAGE: as a form objection. Thank
10	A And again, I'm not a geologist. I do not know.	10	you.
11	MR. JOHNSON: All right. Let's take a	11	Q (By Mr. Johnson) Do you see the section on
12	break. And I think we've been going another hour or so.	12	right that says "Age Dating"?
13	Take a quick break.	13	A Yes, sir.
14	THE WITNESS: Sure.	14	Q Okay. Could you just, to yourself, read those
15	(A break was had from 3:22 to 3:39 p.m.)	15	two paragraphs, the first two paragraphs, and I will
16	(Defendant's Exhibit 103 was marked for	16	have a question for you.
17	identification and made a part of the	17	(The witness reviewed the document.)
18	record.)	18	A Okay.
19	Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. Doctor, we are back on	19	Q All right. So in the second paragraph these
20	the record and I have handed you an article titled	20	authors wrote, "Notwithstanding the obvious
21	"Facts and Fallacies: Petroleum Degradation and a	21	unreliability of co-relating weathering and age, there
22	Subsurface Environment." And it's by Dan McNicoll, Luc	22	seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum
23	Paul Tousignant and Philip Augustine. It's a 2001	23	with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile" I think
24	article. Do you think you have seen this before?	24	that's gas chromograph chromo what does GC stand
25	A I may have. I don't remember.	25	for?
	Page 180		Page 181
1	170 mm	1	π@
1 2	A Gas chromatography.	1 2	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules?
2	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again.	2	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different
2	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of	2 3	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly
2 3 4	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a	2 3 4	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that.
2 3 4 5	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh	2 3	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree,
2 3 4 5 6	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface	2 3 4 5	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a
2 3 4 5	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh	2 3 4 5 6	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page. Actually, I want to start at the bottom, sorry, of page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be. A A plume, again, when you have groundwater
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page. Actually, I want to start at the bottom, sorry, of page 20. The very last sentence says, "They suggest that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be. A A plume, again, when you have groundwater contamination and you have this kind of dispersion and you're making iso-concentration curves of different concentrations, and let's say it's heading north, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page. Actually, I want to start at the bottom, sorry, of page 20. The very last sentence says, "They suggest that bioremediation is favored in this zone contact with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be. A A plume, again, when you have groundwater contamination and you have this kind of dispersion and you're making iso-concentration curves of different concentrations, and let's say it's heading north, that is a plume. In this situation we have, for lack of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page. Actually, I want to start at the bottom, sorry, of page 20. The very last sentence says, "They suggest that bioremediation is favored in this zone contact with flowing groundwater occurs (i.e. delivery of dissolved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be. A A plume, again, when you have groundwater contamination and you have this kind of dispersion and you're making iso-concentration curves of different concentrations, and let's say it's heading north, that is a plume. In this situation we have, for lack of a better term, we have a cave.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page. Actually, I want to start at the bottom, sorry, of page 20. The very last sentence says, "They suggest that bioremediation is favored in this zone contact with flowing groundwater occurs (i.e. delivery of dissolved oxygen is made possible and dissolved hydrocarbons may thus be degraded). Curtis and Lammey go on to suggest that the presence of fresh free product in an old plume	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be. A A plume, again, when you have groundwater contamination and you have this kind of dispersion and you're making iso-concentration curves of different concentrations, and let's say it's heading north, that is a plume. In this situation we have, for lack of a better term, we have a cave. Q Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page. Actually, I want to start at the bottom, sorry, of page 20. The very last sentence says, "They suggest that bioremediation is favored in this zone contact with flowing groundwater occurs (i.e. delivery of dissolved oxygen is made possible and dissolved hydrocarbons may thus be degraded). Curtis and Lammey go on to suggest that the presence of fresh free product in an old plume can be explained by an 'insulating effect' occurring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be. A A plume, again, when you have groundwater contamination and you have this kind of dispersion and you're making iso-concentration curves of different concentrations, and let's say it's heading north, that is a plume. In this situation we have, for lack of a better term, we have a cave. Q Okay. A We have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page. Actually, I want to start at the bottom, sorry, of page 20. The very last sentence says, "They suggest that bioremediation is favored in this zone contact with flowing groundwater occurs (i.e. delivery of dissolved oxygen is made possible and dissolved hydrocarbons may thus be degraded). Curtis and Lammey go on to suggest that the presence of fresh free product in an old plume	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be. A A plume, again, when you have groundwater contamination and you have this kind of dispersion and you're making iso-concentration curves of different concentrations, and let's say it's heading north, that is a plume. In this situation we have, for lack of a better term, we have a cave. Q Okay. A We have Q So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page. Actually, I want to start at the bottom, sorry, of page 20. The very last sentence says, "They suggest that bioremediation is favored in this zone contact with flowing groundwater occurs (i.e. delivery of dissolved oxygen is made possible and dissolved hydrocarbons may thus be degraded). Curtis and Lammey go on to suggest that the presence of fresh free product in an old plume can be explained by an 'insulating effect' occurring around the core of the plume." Do you see with that? A Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be. A A plume, again, when you have groundwater contamination and you have this kind of dispersion and you're making iso-concentration curves of different concentrations, and let's say it's heading north, that is a plume. In this situation we have, for lack of a better term, we have a cave. Q Okay. A We have Q So A We have four walls. When you think about what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Gas chromatography. Q Okay. Gas chromatography. Let me start again. "Not withstanding the obvious unreliability of co-relating weather and age, there seems to be a widespread misconception that if petroleum with a fresh or non-weathered GC profile is found in the subsurface it must be of recent origin." Do you agree with that statement? A He's saying it's unreliable. I disagree with this. Q Okay. A I disagree with it. Q All right. Let's go to the next page. Actually, I want to start at the bottom, sorry, of page 20. The very last sentence says, "They suggest that bioremediation is favored in this zone contact with flowing groundwater occurs (i.e. delivery of dissolved oxygen is made possible and dissolved hydrocarbons may thus be degraded). Curtis and Lammey go on to suggest that the presence of fresh free product in an old plume can be explained by an 'insulating effect' occurring around the core of the plume." Do you see with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prevents the weathering of the hydrocarbon molecules? A If and not in this case, but in a different case if there was a plume and it was highly concentrated, I do agree with that. Q All right. You and I are never going to agree, it sounds like, at least this afternoon, that there is a possibility there could be a plume in this environment underneath the Tulip Springs? A That is correct Q Is that right? A due to the definition of what is a plume. Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding a plume to be. A A plume, again, when you have groundwater contamination and you have this kind of dispersion and you're making iso-concentration curves of different concentrations, and let's say it's heading north, that is a plume. In this situation we have, for lack of a better term, we have a cave. Q Okay. A We have Q So





	Page 198	Page 199
1	A That is correct. I apologize. That's not	1 JURAT PAGE
2	correct. My correction. There were two Summa canister	2
3	air samples that were done inside the cave.	3
4	Q Yeah. For the water samples, though.	4 I, KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, do hereby state
5	A Yes, sir, you are correct. But there were also	5 under oath that I have read the above and foregoing
6	two air samples.	6 deposition in its entirety and that the same is a full,
7	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. All right. Let's	7 true and correct transcript of my testimony so given at
8	take one final break and then I'll see if we can either	8 said time and place, except for the corrections noted.
9	be done or wrap very soon.	9 10
10	THE WITNESS: Okay. Great. Thank you,	11
11	Sir.	12
12	(A break was had from 4:06 to 4:10 p.m.)	13 KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM
13	MR. JOHNSON: We're back on the record.	14
14	Thank you for your time today, Doctor. I	15
15	don't have any further questions for you. Appreciate	16
16	your time.	17
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.	18 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me, the
18	MR. PAGE: We will read and sign.	19 undersigned Notary Public in and for the State of
19	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Page, would you like	20, by said witness, this day
20	to order a copy of the deposition?	21 of, 2022.
21 22	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, please. COURT REPORTER: Is electronic okay?	22
23	MR. JOHNSON: Yes.	23
24	COURT REPORTER: Okay. Thank you.	Notary Public
25	(Deposition concluded at 4:10 p.m.)	25 My Commission Expires:
20	(Deposition concluded at 1.10 p.m.)	25 Ivry Commission Expires
	Page 200	Page 201
1	Page 200 CORRECTION SHEET	1 CERTIFICATE
2	CORRECTION SHEET	1 CERTIFICATE 2
	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM	1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
2	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22	1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF OKLAHOMA) 4) SS:
2 3	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM	1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF OKLAHOMA) 4) SS: 5 COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA)
2 3	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF OKLAHOMA) 4) SS: 5 COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) 6 I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2 3 4 5	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO	1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF OKLAHOMA) 4) SS: 5 COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) 6 I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter 7 within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that
2 3 4 5	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
2 3 4 5	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an attorney for, nor a relative of any of said parties or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an attorney for, nor a relative of any of said parties or otherwise interested in the event of said action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an attorney for, nor a relative of any of said parties or otherwise interested in the event of said action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an attorney for, nor a relative of any of said parties or otherwise interested in the event of said action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an attorney for, nor a relative of any of said parties or otherwise interested in the event of said action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal this 5th day of September, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an attorney for, nor a relative of any of said parties or otherwise interested in the event of said action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal this 5th day of September, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an attorney for, nor a relative of any of said parties or otherwise interested in the event of said action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal this 5th day of September, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an attorney for, nor a relative of any of said parties or otherwise interested in the event of said action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal this 5th day of September, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CORRECTION SHEET Witness: KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM Date Reported: 8/26/22 Case Style: LAZY S RANCH PROPERTIES LLC v. VALERO TERMINALING, et al.	CERTIFICATE STATE OF OKLAHOMA) SS: COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA) I, Trena K. Bloye, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, certify that KENNETH F. EDE, Ph.D., CHMM, was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, in the case aforesaid; that the witness chooses to read and sign the deposition; that the above and foregoing deposition was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same was taken on August 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., at 1437 South Boulder Avenue, Suite 170, Tulsa, Oklahoma; that I am not an attorney for, nor a relative of any of said parties or otherwise interested in the event of said action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal this 5th day of September, 2022.

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

